

A certain class of Einstein-Yang-Mills-systems

Gerd Rudolph ¹ and Torsten Tok ²

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Leipzig University
04109 Leipzig, Augustusplatz 10

Abstract

A class of G -invariant Einstein-Yang-Mills (EYM) systems with cosmological constant on homogeneous spaces G/H , where G is a semisimple compact Lie group, is presented. These EYM-systems can be obtained in terms of dimensional reduction of pure gravity. If G/H is a symmetric space, the EYM-system on G/H provides a static solution of the EYM-equations on spacetime $\mathbb{R} \times G/H$. This way, in particular, a solution for an arbitrary Lie group F , considered as a symmetric space, is obtained. This solution is discussed in detail for the case $F = SU(2)$. A known analytical EYM-system on $\mathbb{R} \times S^3$ is recovered and it is shown - using a relation to the BPST instanton - that this solution is of sphaleron type. Finally, a relation to the distance of Bures and to parallel transport along mixed states is shown.

¹e-mail: rudolph@rz.uni-leipzig.de

²e-mail: tok@tph100.physik.uni-leipzig.de

1 Introduction

In recent years there has been a lot of interest in static globally regular finite energy solutions (so called soliton solutions) and black hole solutions to Einsteins equations with Yang-Mills-, Higgs- and other nonlinear sources. In 1988 Bartnik and McKinnon [1] found a numerical solution to the $SU(2)$ Einstein-Yang-Mills (EYM) system. This was quite surprising, because neither the vacuum-Einstein [2] equations, nor the pure Yang-Mills [3] equations on Minkowski space have nontrivial soliton solutions. Hence, the very weak gravitational interaction can change qualitatively the spectrum of soliton solutions of a theory in which gravity was neglected. Other authors discovered numerically black hole solutions [4] to the $SU(2)$ EYM-system and the existence of both types of solutions was established rigorously [5]. Unfortunately, all solutions to EYM-systems with arbitrary gauge group turned out to be unstable in the sense of linear stability [6]. Subsequently several authors have investigated other models, such as $SU(2)$ EYM-Higgs [7], EYM-dilaton [8] or Einstein-Skyrme systems [9] and found in some cases linearly stable solutions. Another line of research is to look for solutions of the EYM-equations in arbitrary spacetime dimension, which are invariant under some symmetry group K [10]. Then one has the possibility to apply the powerful theory of dimensional reduction and spontaneous compactification [11, 12].

Some authors [13, 14, 15] discussed an analytical $SU(2)$ EYM-system in $(3 + 1)$ dimensions with cosmological constant Λ . The aim of this paper is to show that this EYM-system is a special case of a general construction of EYM-systems with arbitrary gauge group.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we discuss a general construction, which yields on each homogeneous space G/H a G -invariant EYM-system with cosmological constant. If G/H is a symmetric space, we can use this solution to obtain a static G -symmetric EYM-system on the spacetime $\mathbb{R} \times G/H$. This is shown in section 3. In section 4 we apply the construction to an arbitrary Lie group F , considered as a symmetric space and in section 5 we discuss the special case $F = SU(2)$. We recover the known analytical solution mentioned above [13, 14, 15]. Within our geometric framework we are able to give an intrinsic proof that the configuration under consideration is of sphaleron type. In the last section we show that this EYM-system appears also in the theory of parallel transport along mixed states.

2 General construction

We consider a semisimple compact Lie group G and an arbitrary subgroup $H \subset G$ with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathfrak{H}$ resp.. On $\mathfrak{G}$ let there be given a positive definite $Ad(G)$ -invariant bilinear form γ , for instance the negative of the Killing form K . The

form γ defines by left transport a G -biinvariant metric $\mathbf{g}$ on G

$$\mathbf{g}(l_g'X, l_g'Y) = \gamma(X, Y) \quad , \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{G} \quad . \quad (1)$$

We denote by l_g and r_g the left resp. right multiplication in the group G with group element g . The prime denotes the corresponding tangent map, i.e. $l_g'X \in T_gG$. In terms of the canonical left invariant Lie-algebra-valued 1-form θ on G we can write symbolically

$$\mathbf{g} = \gamma(\theta, \theta) \quad . \quad (2)$$

Now we consider G as a principal bundle over G/H with structure group H and canonical projection $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$. Obviously $\mathbf{g}$ is invariant under the right action of the structure group H . Therefore, we can use the fact that every H -invariant metric on an H -principal bundle P with base space M defines and is defined by, three geometrical objects: A connection Γ in the bundle P , a metric $\mathbf{g}_M$ on the base space M and, for every point $x \in M$, an r_H -invariant metric on E_x , the fiber over x [12].

We discuss these geometrical objects in our case. Let $P = G$, $M = G/H$ and $\mathbf{g}$ be the H -invariant metric on P . We define for every $g \in G$ the horizontal subspace Hor_g of the tangent space T_gG as the orthogonal complement of the canonical vertical subspace $Ver_g \subset T_gG$ of the bundle $P = G$ with respect to the metric $\mathbf{g}$. The vertical subspace Ver_g is obviously given by $Ver_g = l_g'\mathfrak{H}$. Thus, from (1) and the $Ad(H)$ -invariance of $\mathbf{g}$ we see

$$Hor_g = l_g'\mathfrak{M} \quad , \quad (3)$$

where $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{G}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{H}$ with respect to γ . The decomposition

$$\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{H} \oplus \mathfrak{M} \quad (4)$$

is because of the $Ad(H)$ invariance of γ reductive, i.e. $[\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{M}] \subset \mathfrak{M}$. The horizontal subspaces Hor_g define a connection Γ , which is known as the canonical connection in the bundle $G(G/H, H)$ [16]. Its connection form ω is given by the $\mathfrak{H}$ -component of θ with respect to the decomposition (4):

$$\omega = \theta_{\mathfrak{H}} \quad . \quad (5)$$

The metric $\mathbf{g}_{G/H}$ on the base space $M = G/H$ can be obtained in the following way: Take two tangent vectors $U_1, U_2 \in T_x(G/H)$ at the point $x \in G/H$ and lift them to horizontal tangent vectors $\hat{U}_1, \hat{U}_2 \in Hor_g$ at an arbitrary point $g \in E_x$, i.e. $\pi'(\hat{U}_i) = U_i, i = 1, 2$. We put

$$\mathbf{g}_{G/H}(U_1, U_2) := \mathbf{g}(\hat{U}_1, \hat{U}_2) \quad . \quad (6)$$

This definition of $\mathbf{g}_{G/H}$ is, because of the r_H -invariance of $\mathbf{g}$, independent of the point $g \in E_x$. If we have a (local) section $s : G/H \rightarrow G$, then $\mathbf{g}_{G/H}$ reads locally

$$\mathbf{g}_{G/H} = \gamma((s^*\theta_{\mathfrak{M}}), (s^*\theta_{\mathfrak{M}})) \quad , \quad (7)$$

where $\theta_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is the $\mathfrak{M}$ -component of θ and the star denotes pull back. The r_H -invariant metric on E_x is given by restriction of $\mathbf{g}$ to E_x . Due to the l_G -invariance of $\mathbf{g}$, every such a metric on E_x defines and is defined by the same $Ad(H)$ -invariant scalar product $\gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}$ on $\mathfrak{H}$, namely

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}(V_1, V_2) = \mathbf{g}(l_g'^* V_1, l_g'^* V_2), \quad (8)$$

where $V_1, V_2 \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $g \in G$ and $\gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is the restriction of γ to the subspace $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{G}$. One more consequence of the l_G -invariance of $\mathbf{g}$ is that the connection Γ and the metric $\mathbf{g}_{G/H}$ are invariant under the left action of G , compare with equations (5) and (7).

Conversely, if we have a connection Γ with connection form ω in the bundle $G(G/H, H)$ and a metric $\mathbf{g}_{G/H}$ on G/H , both not necessarily l_G -invariant, and if we have an $Ad(H)$ -invariant scalar product $\gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}$ on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{H}$, then these three geometrical objects define a r_H -invariant metric $\mathbf{g}$ on G by [17]

$$\mathbf{g}(X, Y) := \gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}(\omega(X), \omega(Y)) + \mathbf{g}_{G/H}(\pi'(X), \pi'(Y)), \quad X, Y \in T_g G. \quad (9)$$

In the next step we will write down the scalar curvature R_G of the Levi-Civita connection on G in terms of ω , $\gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $\mathbf{g}_{G/H}$. The $Ad(H)$ -invariant scalar product $\gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}$ determines a biinvariant metric on H , similarly as in equation (1). The scalar curvature of H calculated with respect to this metric is constant and is denoted by R_H . The curvature Ω of the connection Γ is given by

$$\Omega = D\omega = d\omega + \omega \wedge \omega. \quad (10)$$

If we choose a local coordinate system (x^μ) on G/H and a local section $s : G/H \rightarrow G$ we can write

$$F = s^* \Omega = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu, \quad (11)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ takes values in $\mathfrak{H}$. Note that the quantity $\gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}(F_{\mu\nu}, F^{\mu\nu})$ considered as a function on G/H is independent of the section s and the coordinate system (x^μ) . Now the scalar curvature R_G reads, see [12, 11]:

$$R_G = \pi^*(R_{G/H}) + R_H - \frac{1}{4} \pi^*(\gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}(F_{\mu\nu}, F^{\mu\nu})), \quad (12)$$

where $R_{G/H}$ denotes the scalar curvature on G/H and π^* the pull back under π . Equation (12) looks very simple, but this is due to the $Ad(H)$ -invariance of $\gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and the l_G -invariant construction of the metric in the fibers E_x , see equation (9). In general the splitting of R_G is much more complicated.

It is clear that R_G is constant on each fiber E_x . Therefore we can integrate the Einstein action

$$S = \int_G (R_G - \Lambda_1) dv_G \quad (13)$$

over the fibers E_x and we get

$$S = V_H \int_{G/H} \left(R_{G/H} - (\Lambda_1 - R_H) - \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}(F_{\mu\nu}, F^{\mu\nu}) \right) dv_{G/H} \quad . \quad (14)$$

Here dv_G resp. $dv_{G/H}$ denote the volume forms on G resp. G/H . V_H is the volume of the structure group H , which is equal to the volume of each fiber E_x and Λ_1 has the meaning of a cosmological constant. Equation (14) gives the action of a coupled Einstein-Yang-Mills-system on G/H with cosmological constant $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 - R_H$.

Hence, we arrive at the following result. If the metric $\mathbf{g}$, given by (9), is a solution of the Einstein equations with cosmological constant Λ_1 , then the metric $\mathbf{g}_{G/H}$ and the connection Γ form an Einstein-Yang-Mills-system with cosmological constant $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 - R_H$. This is clear, because every variation of $\mathbf{g}_{G/H}$ and ω yields a variation of $\mathbf{g}$. But $\mathbf{g}$ is a solution of a variation principle with action (13). Therefore $\mathbf{g}_{G/H}$ and ω are solutions of a variation principle with action (14).

It is a known fact that the biinvariant so called Killing metric $\mathbf{g}_K$ on a semisimple compact Lie group G arising from the negative of the Killing form K , see equation (1), is a solution of the Einstein equations with some cosmological constant. One can easily verify this statement by calculating the Ricci tensor Ric . Doing this one gets [16]

$$Ric = \frac{1}{4} g_K \quad . \quad (15)$$

Another consequence of this equation is that the scalar curvature R_G of G calculated with respect to $\mathbf{g}_K$ is

$$R_G = \frac{1}{4} D_G \quad , \quad (16)$$

where D_G is the dimension of G . If we choose $\mathbf{g} = \alpha \mathbf{g}_K$, $\alpha > 0$, as the metric on G , the Einstein equations on G will be fulfilled. The corresponding cosmological constant is given by

$$\Lambda = \frac{D_G - 2}{4\alpha} \quad . \quad (17)$$

Thus, we can use the above construction to find G -invariant EYM-systems on homogeneous spaces G/H with compact semisimple Lie group G .

3 EYM-systems on $\mathbb{R} \times G/H$

Let G be a compact semisimple Lie group with Killing metric $\mathbf{g}_K$ and H be a subgroup of G , so that G/H is a symmetric space. Then we can construct a G -invariant static EYM-system on spacetime $N = \mathbb{R} \times G/H$ using the construction described in the foregoing section, with the first component of N playing the role of time.

We use the Killing metric $\mathbf{g}_K$ on G to obtain the EYM-system $(g_{G/H}, \omega_{G/H})$ on G/H . Moreover, we have the projection $\rho : N \rightarrow G/H$, which projects $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times G/H$ onto $x \in G/H$. On N we consider the static metric

$$\mathbf{g}_N = -dt \stackrel{s}{\otimes} dt + \beta \rho^*(\mathbf{g}_{G/H}), \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (18)$$

and on the H -bundle (ρ^*G) over G/H the static connection form

$$\omega_N = \rho^*(\omega_{G/H}). \quad (19)$$

Because the Yang-Mills equations are fulfilled on G/H , one easily shows that the Yang-Mills equations on N are independently of β fulfilled, too. It remains to check the Einstein equations. If G/H is a *symmetric* space, it is easy to calculate the Ricci tensor $Ric_{G/H}$ and the energy-momentum-tensor $T_{G/H}$ on G/H :

$$Ric_{G/H} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{G/H}, \quad (20)$$

$$T_{G/H} = \frac{4 - D_{G/H}}{8} \mathbf{g}_{G/H}, \quad (21)$$

where $D_{G/H}$ is the dimension of G/H . Here we used

$$(T_{G/H})_{\mu\nu} = \gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}(F_{\mu\tau}, F_{\nu}{}^{\tau}) - \frac{1}{4}(\mathbf{g}_{G/H})_{\mu\nu} \gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}(F_{\tau\sigma}, F^{\tau\sigma}), \quad (22)$$

with the components $F_{\mu\nu}$ of the field strength given by equation (11).

The Ricci tensor Ric_N on N calculated with respect to $\mathbf{g}_N$ is, because of the simple structure of the metric $\mathbf{g}_N$ (18), given by

$$Ric_N = \frac{1}{2} \rho^*(\mathbf{g}_{G/H}), \quad (23)$$

i.e. Ric_N has no time components. The energy-momentum-tensor T_N on N takes the form

$$T_N = \frac{1}{2\beta} \rho^*(\mathbf{g}_{G/H}) - \frac{D_{G/H}}{8\beta^2} \mathbf{g}_N. \quad (24)$$

Now it is a matter of fine tuning the constants to fulfill the Einstein equations on N . The Einstein equations on N read

$$Ric_N - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_N (R_N - \Lambda_N) = \kappa T_N, \quad (25)$$

where Λ_N is the cosmological constant and κ is the gravitational constant. Using equations (18), (23) and (24) we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} Ric_N - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_N (R_N - \Lambda_N) &= \frac{1}{2} \rho^*(\mathbf{g}_{G/H}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_N \left(\frac{D_{G/H}}{2\beta} - \Lambda_N \right) \\ &= \left(\beta \frac{\Lambda_N}{2} + \frac{2 - D_{G/H}}{4} \right) \rho^*(\mathbf{g}_{G/H}) + dt \stackrel{s}{\otimes} dt \left(\frac{D_{G/H}}{4\beta} - \frac{\Lambda_N}{2} \right) \\ \kappa T_N &= \left(\kappa \frac{4 - D_{G/H}}{8\beta} \right) \rho^*(\mathbf{g}_{G/H}) + dt \stackrel{s}{\otimes} dt \left(\kappa \frac{D_{G/H}}{8\beta^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain two equations, which are equivalent to

$$\beta = \kappa, \Lambda = \frac{D_{G/H}}{4\kappa}. \quad (26)$$

Therefore, if the cosmological constant and the gravitational constant are related by equation (26), then the system $(\mathbf{g}_N, \omega_N)$ is a solution of the EYM-equations on N .

This solution can also be obtained by solving the equations of spontaneous compactification [11] on $\mathbb{R} \times G/H$.

4 The symmetric space $(F \times F)/F_{diag}$

Let F be a semisimple compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{F}$ and Killing form $K_{\mathfrak{F}}$. We can consider F as a symmetric space on which $G = F \times F$ acts transitively by

$$(f_1, f_2) * f := f_1 f (f_2)^{-1}, \quad f_1, f_2, f \in F.$$

The stabilizer of the unit element $e \in F$ is given by

$$F_e = \{(f, f); f \in F\} = F_{diag} \equiv H$$

and we can write

$$F \equiv (F \times F)/F_{diag} = G/H.$$

The canonical splitting of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{G}$ of G into a direct sum of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{H}$ of H and a vector space $\mathfrak{M}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{G} \ni (X, Y) = \left(\frac{X+Y}{2}, \frac{X+Y}{2} \right) + \left(\frac{X-Y}{2}, -\frac{X-Y}{2} \right), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{F},$$

i.e.

$$\mathfrak{H} = \{(X, X); X \in \mathfrak{F}\}, \quad (27)$$

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{(X, -X); X \in \mathfrak{F}\}. \quad (28)$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{G}$ is the direct sum of two semisimple Lie algebras namely $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{F} \oplus \mathfrak{F}$. Therefore, the Killing form K on $\mathfrak{G}$ is completely defined by $K_{\mathfrak{F}}$, namely

$$K((X_1, X_2), (Y_1, Y_2)) = K_{\mathfrak{F}}(X_1, Y_1) + K_{\mathfrak{F}}(X_2, Y_2). \quad (29)$$

One easily obtains that $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{M}$ are orthogonal with respect to K .

In the next step we use $\gamma = -\alpha K, \alpha > 0$, to construct an EYM-system on F as described in section 2. We denote the projection from $G = F \times F$ onto the first resp. second component by pr_1 resp. pr_2 and we write $\theta_i := pr_i^* \theta_F, i = 1, 2$, where θ_F is the canonical left invariant 1-form on F . It is clear that G has

the structure of a principal bundle over F with structure group $H = F_{diag}$ and projection $\pi : G \rightarrow F$ given by

$$\pi(f_1, f_2) = f_1(f_2)^{-1}, f_1, f_2 \in F. \quad (30)$$

We choose the following section $s : F \rightarrow G$ in this bundle

$$s(f) := (f, e), f \in F. \quad (31)$$

With these notations the canonical left invariant 1-form θ on G reads

$$\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

and its projection onto $\mathfrak{H}$ resp. $\mathfrak{M}$ has the form

$$\theta_{\mathfrak{H}} = \left(\frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2}, \frac{\theta_1 + \theta_2}{2} \right), \quad (32)$$

$$\theta_{\mathfrak{M}} = \left(\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2}, -\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_2}{2} \right). \quad (33)$$

Moreover, we get

$$s^*\theta_{\mathfrak{H}} = \left(\frac{\theta_F}{2}, \frac{\theta_F}{2} \right), \quad (34)$$

$$s^*\theta_{\mathfrak{M}} = \left(\frac{\theta_F}{2}, -\frac{\theta_F}{2} \right), \quad (35)$$

where we used $pr_1 \circ s = id_F$ and $pr_2 \circ s = e$. Now the gauge potential $A_F = s^*\omega$ and the metric $\mathbf{g}_F$, see equations (5) and (7), can be expressed by

$$A_F = s^*\omega = s^*\theta_{\mathfrak{H}} = \left(\frac{\theta_F}{2}, \frac{\theta_F}{2} \right), \quad (36)$$

$$\mathbf{g}_F = \gamma((s^*\theta_{\mathfrak{M}}), (s^*\theta_{\mathfrak{M}})) = -\frac{\alpha}{2} K_{\mathfrak{F}}(\theta_F, \theta_F). \quad (37)$$

To derive the last equation one has to take into consideration equations (35) and (29). The scalar product $\gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}$ in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{H}$ of the structure group is given by the restriction of γ to $\mathfrak{H}$. Identifying $H = F_{diag}$ with F and using equation (29) we get

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{H}} = -2\alpha K_{\mathfrak{F}}. \quad (38)$$

Comparing with equation (16), we obtain

$$R_H = \frac{1}{8\alpha} D_F, \quad (39)$$

where R_H is the scalar curvature of the structure group and D_F is the dimension of F . The metric $\mathbf{g}$ on $G = F \times F$ fulfills the Einstein equations on G with

cosmological constant $\Lambda_1 = \frac{D_F-1}{2\alpha}$, see equation (17). Thus, the cosmological constant Λ of the EYM-system consisting of $\mathbf{g}_F$ and A_F reads

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_1 - R_H = \frac{3D_F - 4}{8\alpha}. \quad (40)$$

The physical EYM-action has the form

$$S = \int \left(\frac{1}{16\pi\chi} (R - \Lambda) - \frac{1}{4} (F_{\mu\nu}, F^{\mu\nu}) \right) dv, \quad (41)$$

where $(.,.)$ is the negative of the Killing form and $8\pi\chi = \kappa$ is the gravitational constant, which appears in the Einstein equations (25). It is obtained by dividing the action (14) by 2α and identifying α with the gravitational constant κ .

Lets summarize our results. On every compact semisimple Lie group F exists an F -symmetric EYM-system with gauge group F consisting of $\mathbf{g}_F$ and A_F , see equations (37) and (36). The gravitational constant α and the cosmological constant Λ are related by equation (40) (fine tuning).

We considered F as a symmetric space. Therefore, we can apply the construction described in section 3 to get an EYM-system on $N = \mathbb{R} \times F$. We obtain the gauge potential and the metric on N from equations (19) and (18), where the EYM-system on $F = G/H$ and the scalar product in the Lie algebra of the structure group F are given by equations (36) and (37) resp. (38) with $\alpha = 1$. The resulting relations between the occuring constants β , κ and Λ_N can be obtained from equation (26).

5 The case $SU(2) \times SU(2)/SU(2)$ - a relation to instantons

For $F = SU(2)$ it is easy to write down the explicit form of the metric $\mathbf{g}_{SU(2)}$ and the gauge potential $A_{SU(2)}$ in local coordinates. We parametrize $SU(2)$ by stereographic coordinates z_β

$$SU(2) \ni \mathbf{x} = \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z|^2 + 1} \mathbb{1} + \frac{z_\beta}{|z|^2 + 1} i\sigma^\beta, \quad z_\beta \in \mathbb{R}, \beta = 1, 2, 3, |z|^2 = \sum_{\beta=1}^3 (z_\beta)^2, \quad (42)$$

where σ^β denote the Pauli matrices and $\mathbb{1}$ is the 2×2 unit matrix. The Killing form $K_{su(2)}$ on the Lie algebra $su(2)$ is given by

$$K_{su(2)}(X, Y) = 4\text{tr}(XY), \quad X, Y \in su(2). \quad (43)$$

To get the metric $\mathbf{g}_{SU(2)}$ one has to use equation (37)

$$\mathbf{g}_{SU(2)} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} K_{su(2)}(\theta_{su(2)}, \theta_{su(2)}) = -2\alpha \text{tr} \left(\theta_{su(2)} \overset{s}{\otimes} \theta_{su(2)} \right). \quad (44)$$

Here $\overset{s}{\otimes}$ denotes the symmetrized tensor product and in what follows we write $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ for the hermitean conjugate of $\mathbf{x}$. With $\theta_{su(2)} = \mathbf{x}^{-1}d\mathbf{x} = -d(\mathbf{x}^{-1})\mathbf{x} = -d\bar{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{x}$ we get

$$\mathbf{g}_{SU(2)} = -2\alpha \text{tr} \left(d\bar{\mathbf{x}} \overset{s}{\otimes} d\mathbf{x} \right) = \frac{16\alpha}{(|z|^2 + 1)^2} \sum_{\beta=1}^3 dz_{\beta} \overset{s}{\otimes} dz_{\beta}. \quad (45)$$

It is obvious that $SU(2)$ endowed with this metric is a 3-sphere with radius $2\sqrt{\alpha}$. The gauge potential $A_{su(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\theta_{su(2)}$ takes an especially simple form, if we perform a gauge transformation

$$A' = u^{-1}A_{su(2)}u + u^{-1}du \quad (46)$$

with

$$u = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \mathbb{1}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbb{1}|}. \quad (47)$$

After a simple calculation we get

$$A' = \frac{1}{|z|^2 + 1} z_{\alpha} dz_{\beta} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} i\sigma_{\gamma}, \quad (48)$$

where $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is totally antisymmetric and $\varepsilon^{123} = 1$. The cosmological constant Λ follows from equation (40) and has the value

$$\Lambda = \frac{3D_F - 4}{8\alpha} = \frac{5}{8\alpha}. \quad (49)$$

If we apply the construction described in section 3, then we obtain a static EYM-system on $N = \mathbb{R} \times S^3 \equiv \mathbb{R} \times SU(2)$. In local coordinates (t, z_{α}) this solution reads

$$A_N = \frac{1}{|z|^2 + 1} z_{\alpha} dz_{\beta} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} i\sigma_{\gamma} \quad (50)$$

$$\mathbf{g}_N = -dt \overset{s}{\otimes} dt + \frac{16\kappa}{(|z|^2 + 1)^2} \sum_{\beta=1}^3 dz_{\beta} \overset{s}{\otimes} dz_{\beta}. \quad (51)$$

Here κ is the gravitational constant. From equations (38) and (43) we get the scalar product in the Lie algebra of the structure group

$$\gamma_{su(2)}(X, Y) = -8\text{tr}(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in su(2). \quad (52)$$

The cosmological constant Λ_N we obtain from equation (26) and $D_{SU(2)} = 3$

$$\Lambda_N = \frac{3}{4\kappa}. \quad (53)$$

The same results were obtained in [14, 15]. But in these papers the geometric structure of the solution was left in the dark. We hope that our considerations clarified this point completely.

In paper [13] there was mentioned a relation of the gauge potential $A_{SU(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\theta_{SU(2)}$ to the BPST instanton solution [18], but only in terms of a local coordinate chart. In the bundle language, this relation looks as follows: Let us consider the principal bundle $P = SU(2) \times SU(2) \rightarrow SU(2)$ as a subbundle of the quaternionic Hopf bundle $P_{\mathbb{H}}$: we show that the connection form ω on P , see equation (5), is the pull back of the instanton connection form ω_{inst} on $P_{\mathbb{H}}$. The quaternionic Hopf bundle is given by pairs (a, b) , $a, b \in \mathbb{H}$ with

$$\bar{a}a + \bar{b}b = 1 \quad (54)$$

and by the right action of unimodular quaternions

$$\psi_u(a, b) := (au, bu), \quad \bar{u}u = 1. \quad (55)$$

Here bar denotes quaternionic conjugation. The set of unimodular quaternions is isomorphic to the group $SU(2)$. Therefore, the bundle $P = SU(2) \times SU(2)$ is naturally embedded by a bundle homomorphism i into the bundle $P_{\mathbb{H}}$

$$i : P \ni (a, b) \rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}a, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}b \right) \in P_{\mathbb{H}}. \quad (56)$$

On $P_{\mathbb{H}}$ the instanton connection is defined by

$$\omega_{inst} = \bar{a}da + \bar{b}db. \quad (57)$$

Taking into account $\bar{u} = u^{-1}$, for u unimodular, we obtain

$$i^*\omega_{inst} = \frac{1}{2}(\theta_1 + \theta_2), \quad (58)$$

where $\theta_i = pr_i^*\theta_{SU(2)}$, $i = 1, 2$ as defined in the foregoing section. Comparing with equations (5) and (32) it is clear that ω is the pull back of ω_{inst} under i . This gives us the possibility to calculate the Chern-Simons index k of the gauge potential $A_{SU(2)}$, see equation (36), in a very simple geometrically intrinsic way. If we represent the base space S^4 of the quaternionic Hopf bundle as the set of quaternions plus one point, we can choose the local section

$$s_I : \mathbb{H} \ni x \rightarrow \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}} \right) \in P_{\mathbb{H}} \quad (59)$$

in the bundle $P_{\mathbb{H}}$. We denote by A_I the instanton gauge potential and by F_I its field strength, i.e. $A_I = s_I^*\omega_{inst}$. Notice that the section s in the bundle P , see equation (31), is the restriction of s_I under the embedding i . Therefore, $A_{SU(2)}$ is the pull back of A_I under i . The embedding i induces an embedding of the base space of P into the base space of $P_{\mathbb{H}}$, which we denote by the same letter i . The image $i(M)$ of the base space $M = SU(2)$ of P is an equator of S^4 . We

denote one of the two halvespheres of S^4 whose boundary is $i(M)$ by N . Now we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
k &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{SU(2)} \text{tr}(A_{SU(2)} \wedge dA_{SU(2)} + \frac{2}{3} A_{SU(2)} \wedge A_{SU(2)} \wedge A_{SU(2)}) \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{\partial N} \text{tr}(A_I \wedge dA_I + \frac{2}{3} A_I \wedge A_I \wedge A_I) \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_N d \left(\text{tr}(A_I \wedge dA_I + \frac{2}{3} A_I \wedge A_I \wedge A_I) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_N \text{tr}(F_I \wedge F_I) \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

One easily checks that the connection A_I is up to gauge transformations invariant under the natural action of $SO(5)$ on S^4 . Therefore, $\text{tr}(F_I \wedge F_I)$ is up to a factor the volume form on S^4 and we get

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_N \text{tr}(F_I \wedge F_I) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{S^4} \text{tr}(F_I \wedge F_I) \quad .$$

The topological index of the basic instanton is 1, hence the Chern-Simons index k of our solution has to be $1/2$. This is an intrinsic proof that the solution found is of sphaleron type. The calculation of the Chern-Simons index in terms of local gauge potentials is much more complicated and may yield incorrect results, if one chooses a singular gauge. Therefore the authors in [14] had to perform a gauge transformation before they had got the correct result.

6 A relation to Berry's phase and the distance of Bures

The EYM-system $(\mathfrak{g}_{SU(2)}, A_{SU(2)})$, described in the foregoing section, is well known from the study of parallel transport along 2×2 - density matrices, see [19, 20, 21].

We consider the trivial $U(2)$ principal bundle

$$GL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{C})/U(2) \equiv: D_2(2) \tag{61}$$

with the projection π given by

$$\pi(\omega) := w w^*, w \in GL(2, \mathbb{C}) \quad . \tag{62}$$

Here star denotes hermitean conjugation. The base space $D_2(2)$ consists of all not normalized nonsingular 2×2 density matrices. On $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ we have a natural metric $\mathfrak{g}$, which is invariant under right action of $U(2)$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \Re \text{tr} \left(d\omega \overset{s}{\otimes} d\omega^* \right) \quad . \tag{63}$$

Therefore, we obtain by an analogous construction as in section 2 a connection A_B in the bundle (61) and a metric $\mathbf{g}_B$ on its base space. The connection A_B was proposed by Uhlmann [20] and it governs parallel transport along mixed states, which is related to the concept of purification of density matrices. The metric $\mathbf{g}_B$ is known as the Riemannian metric which comes from the distance of Bures [22] and it is related to the transition probability between mixed states.

It turns out that the connection A_B is reducible to a connection [19] in the $SU(2)$ subbundle Q_2 defined by

$$Q_2 := \{w \in GL(2, \mathbb{C}); \det(w) \in \mathbb{R}_+\} . \quad (64)$$

This corresponds to a simple property of the metric (63): If we consider $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ as a $U(1)$ principal bundle over Q_2 , then every vector tangent to Q_2 is orthogonal to the direction of the fiber of that bundle. Therefore, the horizontal subspaces of the connection A_B , defined as the orthogonal complements of the vector spaces tangent to the fibers of the bundle $GL(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow D_2(2)$, are tangent to Q_2 . Another consequence of this property is that we can use the restriction of $\mathbf{g}$ to Q_2 to construct $\mathbf{g}_B$.

In the next step we restrict the base space of Q_2 to all normalized density matrices,

$$\text{tr}(\rho) = \text{tr}(ww^*) = 1 . \quad (65)$$

We denote the resulting $SU(2)$ bundle by $\hat{Q}_2$. Obviously, there is a natural embedding $j : \hat{Q}_2 \rightarrow GL(2, \mathbb{C})$. On $\hat{Q}_2$ we have the pull back $j^*\mathbf{g}$ of the metric (63). We will show that there exists a bundle homomorphism $f : \hat{Q}_2 \rightarrow P = SU(2) \times SU(2)$, such that $j^*\mathbf{g} = f^*\mathbf{g}_P$, with $\mathbf{g}_P$ being a multiple of the Killing metric on $SU(2) \times SU(2)$.

Obviously, every matrix $w \in gl(2, \mathbb{C})$ can be uniquely represented in the form

$$w = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} (a + ib) \quad , \quad (66)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a &= x_0 \mathbb{1} + x_\alpha i \sigma^\alpha \quad , \\ b &= y_0 \mathbb{1} + y_\alpha i \sigma^\alpha \quad , \alpha = 1, 2, 3 \quad , \quad x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

If $w \in \hat{Q}_2$ we have $\det(w) > 0$ and $\text{tr}(ww^*) = 1$. Hence, we obtain

$$0 = \Im(\det(w)) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 x_i^2 - \sum_{i=0}^3 y_i^2 \right) \quad , \quad (67)$$

$$0 < \Re(\det(w)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^3 x_i y_i \quad , \quad (68)$$

$$1 = \text{tr}(ww^*) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 x_i^2 + \sum_{i=0}^3 y_i^2 \right) \quad . \quad (69)$$

From equations (67) and (69) we find

$$\sum_{i=0}^3 x_i^2 = \sum_{i=0}^3 y_i^2 = 1 \quad (70)$$

and therefore $a, b \in SU(2)$. So we can define a map $f : \hat{Q}_2 \rightarrow P$ according to equation (66). One easily checks that this map is an injective bundle homomorphism and because of (68) the set $f(\hat{Q}_2)$ is an open subset of P . Thus, for every $(a, b) = p \in f(\hat{Q}_2)$ with origin $w = f^{-1}(p)$ the tangent space $T_p P$ is isomorphic to the tangent space $T_w \hat{Q}_2$.

With these remarks it is a matter of a simple calculation to show $j^* \mathbf{g} = f^* \mathbf{g}_P$. Let X_1 and X_2 be two vectors in $T_w \hat{Q}_2$ and (A_1, B_1) and (A_2, B_2) their images in $T_p P$, $p = (a, b) \in SU(2) \times SU(2)$. Taking into account equations (2), (29), (43) as well as

$$\theta(A_i, B_i) = (a^{-1} A_i, b^{-1} B_i) \in su(2) \oplus su(2), i = 1, 2$$

and using

$$-(a^{-1} A) = (a^{-1} A)^* = A^* a, a \in SU(2),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_P((A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2)) &= -4\alpha \left(tr(a^{-1} A_1 a^{-1} A_2) + tr(b^{-1} B_1 b^{-1} B_2) \right) \\ &= 4\alpha (tr(A_1 A_2^*) + tr(B_1 B_2^*)) \\ &= 4\alpha (\Re tr((A_1 + iB_1)(A_2^* - iB_2^*))) \\ &= 16\alpha (\Re tr(X_1 X_2^*)) , \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

showing $j^* \mathbf{g} = f^* \mathbf{g}_P$, if $\alpha = \frac{1}{16}$.

Hence, Uhlmann's connection reduced to a connection in $\hat{Q}_2$ and the pull back of the Bures metric to the space of nonsingular normalized density matrices coincide with the EYM-system presented in section 5, see equations (45) and (48).

References

- [1] R. Bartnik, J. McKinnon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 141 (1988)
- [2] A. Lichnerowicz, in *Théories relativistes de la gravitation et de l'électromagnétisme* (Masson, Paris, 1955)
- [3] S. Deser, Phys. Lett. **B 64**, 463 (1976)
S. Coleman, in *New Phenomenon in Subnuclear Physics*, ed. A. Zichichi (Plenum, New York, 1975)

- [4] P. Bizon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2844 (1990)
M. S. Volkov, D. V. Galt'sov, Prs'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **50**, 312 (1989);
Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **51**, 747 (1990)
H. P. Künzle, A. K. Masoud-ul-Alan, J. Math. Phys. **31**, 928 (1990)
- [5] J. A. Smoller, A. G. Wassermann, Commun. Math. Phys. **151**, 303 (1993)
J. A. Smoller, A. G. Wassermann, S. T. Yau, Commun. Math. Phys. **154**,
377 (1993)
P. Breitenlohner, P. Forgács, D. Maison, Commun. Math. Phys. **163**, 141
(1994)
J. A. Smoller, A. G. Wassermann, S. T. Yau, J. B. McLeod, Commun. Math.
Phys. **143**, 115 (1992)
- [6] N. Straumann, Z.-H. Zhou, Phys. Lett. **B 237**, 353 (1990)
N. Straumann, Z.-H. Zhou, Phys. Lett. **B 243**, 33 (1990)
Z.-H. Zhou, N. Straumann, Nucl. Phys. **B 360**, 180 (1991)
O. Brodbeck, N. Straumann, Phys. Lett. **B 324**, 309 (1994)
P. Boschung, O. Brodbeck, F. Moser, N. Straumann, M. S. Volkov, Phys.
Rev. **D 50**, 3842 (1994)
- [7] K.-Y. Lee, V. P. Nair, E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 1100 (1992)
K.-Y. Lee, V. P. Nair, E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **D 45**, 2751 (1992)
P. Breitenlohner, P. Forgács, D. Maison, Nucl. Phys. **383**, 357 (1992)
P. C. Aichelburg, P. Bizon, Phys. Rev. **D 48**, 607 (1993)
B. R. Greene, S. D. Mathur, C. M. O'Neill, Phys. Rev. **D 47**, 2242 (1993)
- [8] E. E. Donets, D. V. Gal'tsov, Phys. Lett. **B 302**, 411 (1993)
E. E. Donets, D. V. Gal'tsov, Phys. Lett. **B 312**, 391 (1993)
G. Lavrelashvili, D. Maison, Nucl. Phys. **B 410**, 407 (1993)
P. Bizon, Act. Phys. Pol. **B 24**, 1209 (1993)
- [9] S. Droz, M. Heusler, N. Straumann, Phys. Lett. **B 268**, 371 (1991)
M. Heusler, S. Droz, N. Straumann, Phys. Lett. **B 271**, 61 (1991)
M. Heusler, S. Droz, N. Straumann, Phys. Lett. **B 285**, 21 (1992)
M. Heusler, N. Straumann, Z.-H. Zhou, Helv. Phys. Acta **66**, 614 (1993)
- [10] G. Rudolph, T. Tok, I. P. Volobuev, gr-qc-9602006, to appear in Int. J. Mod.
Phys. **A**
- [11] Y. A. Kubyshin, J. M. Mourão, G. Rudolph, I. P. Volobuev, "*Dimensional
Reduction of Gauge Theories, Spontaneous Compactification and Model
Building*" (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1989)
- [12] R. Coquereaux, A. Jadczyk, Commun. Math. Phys. **90**, 79 (1983)
R. Coquereaux, Ref.TH.3639-CERN

- [13] Y. Hosotani, Phys. Lett. **B 147**, 44 (1984)
- [14] S. Ding, A. Hosoya, TIT/HEP-242/COSMO-39, 1993
- [15] P. G. Molnár, ZU-TH 7/95
- [16] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, "*Foundations of Differential Geometry I / II*"
(John Willey & Sons New York London 1963)
- [17] A. Trautman, Rep. Math. Phys.**1**, 29 (1970)
- [18] A. Belavin, A. Polyakov, A. Schwarz, Y. Tyupkin, Phys. Lett. **B 59**, 85
(1975)
- [19] J. Dittmann, G. Rudolph, J. Geom. and Physics **10**, 93 (1992)
J. Dittmann, G. Rudolph, J. Math. Phys. **33**, 4148 (1992)
- [20] A. Uhlmann, Rep. Math. Phys.**24**, 229 (1986)
A. Uhlmann, Lett. Math. Phys. **21**, 229 (1991)
- [21] T. Tok, Diploma thesis, Leipzig University (1993)
- [22] D. Bures, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **135**, 199 (1969)